JOHN M. BENASSI (SBN 74137)
MATTHEW C. LAPPLE (SBN 193546)
SAMUEL R. HELLFELD (SBN 234421)
HELLER EHRMAN LLP
4350 La Jolla Village Drive, 7th Floor
San Diego, CA 92122-1246
Telephone: +1.858.450.8400
Facsimile: +1.858.450.8499
john.benassi@hellerehrman.com
matt.lapple@hellerehrman.com
sam.hellfeld@hellerehrman.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
PULSE~LINK INCORPORATED

FILED
07 NOV -9 AM 10:58
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY: DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PULSE~LINK INCORPORATED, Plaintiff, v. TZERO TECHNOLOGIES INCORPORATED, Defendant.	Case No.: '07 CV 2156 BTM (WMC) **NOTICE OF RELATED CASE [RELATED TO CIVIL CASE NO. 07 CV 1125 L (AJB)] Local Rule 40.1(d)-(e)**

ORIGINAL

Heller Ehrman LLP

Pursuant to Local Rules 40.1(d)(2) and 40.1(e), plaintiff Pulse~Link Incorporated ("Pulse~Link") hereby gives notice of the following related case:

- *Pulse~Link Incorporated v. Tzero Technologies Incorporated*, U.S. District Court Case No. 07 CV 1125 L (AJB), filed June 21, 2007 in the Unites States District Court for the Southern District of California.

The instant case involves another patent dispute between the exact same parties, Pulse~Link and Tzero, involves similar technology, and therefore should be low-numbered under Local Rule 40.1(e). The first *Pulse~Link v. Tzero* patent case presently is before Judge Lorenz and assignment of the instant patent case to Judge Lorenz would result in the saving of judicial effort and other economies.

Transferring this case to Judge Lorenz is likely to effect economies by avoiding a duplication of labor because it concerns patent disputes between Pulse~Link and Tzero.

DATED: November 9, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

HELLER EHRMAN LLP

By John Benassi (SEH)
JOHN M. BENASSI
Attorneys For Plaintiff
PULSE~LINK INCORPORATED

SD 890657 v1

Heller Ehrman LLP